

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/557,832	08/25/2006	Milton S. Soditch	026032-4966	8083
22428 7590 10/01/2008 FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP			EXAMINER	
SUITE 500			NELSON JR, MILTON	
3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3636	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/557.832 SODITCH ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Milton Nelson, Jr. 3636 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 June 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 21-27 and 29-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 25-27,30-32 and 37 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 21,23,24,29 and 33-36 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 22 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _______

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 3636

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 29 and 33-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 29 recites the limitation "the cable" in line 9. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

In line 7 of claim 33, Applicant refers to "the leg member". It appears that this is the same feature as the previously set forth "leg", however inconsistent terminology has been provided. Applicant is required to use consistent terminology throughout. Similarly note those claims dependent from claim 33.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Art Unit: 3636

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 21, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamada et al (7040702). Note the seat back (11), seat base (14), leg assembly (17), leg detent (48), cable (43), and mechanism (38).

Claim 29, as best understood with the above cited indefiniteness, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamada et al (7040702). Note the seat back (11), seat base (14), leg assembly (17), and break-away mechanism (18).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 22 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 33 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112. 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Art Unit: 3636

Claims 34-36 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 25-27, 30-32, and 37 are allowed.

Response to Amendment/Arguments

Applicant's response has been fully considered. Remaining issues are described in the above sections. Regarding application of Yamada et al to claims 21 and 29, Applicant argues that the prior art reference lacks a mechanism connected to the cable such that when the seat base is locked in the stored position and the leg member is pulled, the leg member will move without damaging the cable. In the locked, stored position of Yamada et al, pulling the leg member does not damage the cable, as such causes unlocking of the seat base in order to support proper cable movement. Applicant argues that there is no mechanism in which the seat bottom (14) is locked in the stored position and the leg member (17) is pulled because the device of Yamada et al is unlocked when the leg member (17) is pulled. Applicant appears to argue that the seat bottom remains locked in the stored position when the leg member is pulled, however the claim language fails to support this. Note the largely functional recitation in the last passage of claim 21: "a mechanism connected to the cable such that when the seat base is locked in the stored position and the leg member is pulled, the leg member will move without damaging the cable" (similarly note claim 29). It can been seen that Yamada et al clearly meets this limitation, as the language fails to set forth that the seat

Art Unit: 3636

base remains locked in the stored position. Arguments regarding claim 33 are now moot as the prior art rejection has been withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendment.

All remaining rejections are proper.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Susko et al (5671948) shows a vehicle seat with a folding seat bottom and folding leg member. Kahn et al (20050057081) also shows a vehicle seat with a folding seat bottom and folding leg member.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3636

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Milton Nelson, Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-6861. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs, and alternate Fridays, 5:30-3:00 EST.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Milton Nelson, Jr./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3636

mn September 27, 2008